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OBJECTIVE
I. Objective

Despite BEPS, existing gaps shall be closed:

• "Race to the bottom" of the tax rates
  • Distortion of international investment activities
  • Digital business models in particular are subject to an effective average rate of less than 10%.

• Erosion of tax base
  • Tax avoidance practices
  • Profit allocation abroad
PILLAR II
II. Pillar II - Overview

Based on the German-French initiative "GloBE" *(Global anti-base erosion proposal)*:

- Inclusion of profits of foreign entities
- Additional domestic taxation at minimum tax level

Avoidance of erosion of tax base

- Income inclusion rule
  - Inclusion of profits of foreign entities
  - Additional domestic taxation at minimum tax level

- Tax on Base Eroding Payments
  - Undertaxed Payment Rule
    - No deduction for payments
  - Subject to Tax Rule
    - Restriction of DBA benefits
II. Pillar II - "Income Inclusion Rule"

**Issue**

- Foreign profits of domestic enterprises,
- earned at the level of controlled foreign subsidiaries,
- are subject to foreign taxation below a determined minimum tax rate.

**Example:**

```
limited liability company
```

```
Caribbean Ltd
```

Taxation 6 % below the minimum tax rate
II. Pillar II - "Income Inclusion Rule"

Solution: „top up to a minimum rate“

1. Determination of a minimum tax rate,
   • which is applied worldwide as a fixed percentage, and
   • is not linked to the tax rate of the State of residence

2. Post-taxation in residence country
   • "Filling up" the tax rate
   • But no adjustment according to domestic tax level (cf. German CFC)
II. Pillar II - "Income Inclusion Rule"

Implementation alternatives

• Partial integration into national tax base
  • Advantage: losses can be offset in the country of residence

• Separate tax regime
  • Example: GILTI regime in the USA

• Exact implementation uncertain
II. Pillar II - "Income Inclusion Rule"

- Risk of **double taxation**
  - e.g. in case of qualification conflicts or
  - sequence of tax collection unclear

- **Workarounds**
  - relocation of tax residency possible (in case of not comprehensive implementation)
    - for example: Brexit - great interest in attracting companies through tax advantages

- Determination of the **effective foreign tax burden critical**
  - What rules/standards apply for determining foreign profits? How do timing advantages (tax holidays) affect tax burden?
II. Pillar II - "Switch-Over Rule"

- **Issue**: Income Inclusion Rule only applicable to income of subsidiary companies, not to income derived from permanent establishments

- **Solution**: Switch-Over Rule
  - Application of the imputation method if:
    - Income from permanent establishments is exempted in accordance with Double Tax Treaty (e.g. Germany), and,
    - Low taxation in the source country

- **Remarks**
  - Tax adjustment up to the German income tax level
  - Worse position compared to foreign subsidiary corporations, as there is only adjustment up to minimum tax rate
II. Pillar II – Tax on Base Eroding Payments - „Undertaxed Payment Rule“

• **Issue:**
  • Payment to related parties abroad,
  • which are not subject to minimum taxation abroad.

• **Solution:**
  • Indirect tax collection by the recipient through deduction restrictions, or
  • Independent (withholding) tax with crediting

**Example:**

```
100 %

D

Ltd.

limited liability company

100 %
```

Example:

12 Ltd.

limited liability company
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II. Pillar II – Tax on Base Eroding Payments – „Subject to Tax Rule“

• **Issue:**
  • Income that is not subject to the minimum tax rate in the State of residence of the payee.

• **Solution:**
  • Denial of treaty relief
  • Especially in the case of reduction of withholding tax on interest and royalty payments

6 November 2019
REMARKS
III. Remarks

- Increased **compliance costs** and effort

- Compatibility with **constitutional and European law**
  - Infringement of the (German) net objective principle (limitation of the deductibility of certain payments in inbound cases)

- **Elimination of tax (rate) competition**

- **Attacks tax sovereignty of** low tax jurisdictions
OUTLOOK
Outlook

- **November 2019**
  - Publication of a „Consultation Document“

- **December 2019**
  - "Consultation Meeting" for numerous open questions on Pillar II

- **By the end of 2020**
  - Final report of the OECD
  - EU Member States agree on European implementation
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**GILTI Overview**

- **Summary of the rule:** A US Shareholder of a CFC is subject to US tax (domestic rates) on the combined net income of its CFCs that exceeds a fixed routine return on the CFCs tangible business assets.

- Income subject to US tax is the net income of the CFC that exceeds 10% of the depreciable tangible assets of the CFC.

- A US corporate shareholder may generally deduct 50% of its GILTI inclusion, resulting in a tax of 10.5% over the GILTI income.

- FTC available for foreign income taxes attributable to the GILTI inclusion, but only for 80% of such foreign taxes.
  - No carry back or carry forward allowed.

- It was expected that GILTI should apply only to US shareholders with CFCs not otherwise subject to foreign tax of at least 13.125%.
  - Expense allocation / apportionment rules for FTC purposes may change that result.
  - GILTI high-tax exception.
BEAT Overview
**Summary of the rule:** BEAT is a minimum US tax (10% rate, with a 5% introductory rate) calculated on a base equal to the taxpayer’s income determined without tax benefits arising from base erosion payments made to foreign affiliates.

- US Corporation should pay the amount of tax liability under BEAT that exceeds the regular tax liability.

- Base erosion payment is any deductible payment or payment for acquisition of depreciable/amortizable property made to a related foreign person.

- BEAT applies only to C or S Corporations with $500 million average gross receipts (3-year period) with a base erosion percentage of 3% or higher.

- However, both requirements apply on an aggregate basis by treating members of a controlled group as a single taxpayer.
Comparison with Pillar 2
Income inclusion rule vs. GILTI

**Apparent Similarities**

- The income inclusion rule is expected to supplement the jurisdictions’ CFC rules.
- Intention is to ensure that income of MNE group is subjected to tax at a minimum rate.
- In principle, OECD indicates that the tax base calculation would be determined by reference to the rules applicable in the shareholder jurisdiction.
- OECD’s proposes a carve-out for routine return on tangible assets.
- Possibility to blend foreign tax rates for purposes of the minimum tax rate.

**Apparent Disparities**

- OECD intends to explore use of a fixed percentage or a range or corridor of minimum rates, instead of using the parent jurisdiction’s CIT rate.
- Although the tax base for purposes of the minimum tax would be determined by the rules applicable in the shareholder jurisdiction, OECD proposes to adopt simplification measures as a way to simplify compliance and administrability for both taxpayers and tax administration.
- Indicates that the minimum tax rate may also apply to foreign branches.
Tax on base eroding payments vs. BEAT

Apparent Similarities

- Intention is to allow the source jurisdiction to protect itself from risk of base eroding payments made to related parties.

Apparent Disparities

- BEAT mechanics is not a simple disallowance of deduction, but requires re-calculation of the tax base that is subject to a lower tax rate.

- Taxation at the related party beneficiary of the eroding payment does not impact BEAT.

- Not clear whether OECD intends to limit the proposal to taxpayers with certain base erosion percentages.

- BEAT does not comprise a complement tax burden consisting in subjecting the payment to WHT and denial of treaty benefits.
FINAL REMARKS

Key Challenges Based on the US Tax Reform Experience

- Complexity
- Unintended outcomes
- Interaction between rules
- Double taxation
- Administrative burden
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